

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

TANISHA JACKSON)	CASE NO.
12503 Rexford Ave)	
Cleveland, OH 44105)	JUDGE
)	
on behalf of herself and all others similarly)	
situated,)	
)	<u>PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT</u>
Plaintiff,)	
)	(Jury Demand Endorsed Herein)
vs.)	
)	
LARCHWOOD HEALTH GROUP LLC)	
D/B/A LARCHWOOD VILLAGE)	
RETIREMENT COMMUNITY)	
c/o Statutory Agent Melissa Verzi)	
32900 Detroit Road, Ste 100)	
Avon, OH 44011)	
)	
Defendant.)	

Now comes Plaintiff Tanisha Jackson, by and through counsel, and for her Complaint against Defendant Larchwood Health Group LLC d/b/a Larchwood Village Retirement Community ("Larchwood"), states and alleges the following:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a "collective action" instituted by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' practices and policies of not paying their non-exempt employees, including Plaintiff, overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rates of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek, in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. 201-219, as well as a "class action" pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 to remedy violations of the Ohio Minimum Fair Wage Standards Act ("OMFWSA"), R.C. 4111.03.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

3. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's OMFWSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1367 because the claims are so related to the FLSA claims as to form part of the same case or controversy.

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant conducts business throughout this District and Division and because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District and Division.

PARTIES

5. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff Tanisha Jackson ("Plaintiff") was a citizen of the United States and a resident of Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

6. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(e).

7. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was limited liability company, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Ohio, with its principal place of business at 4110 Rocky River Drive, Cleveland, Ohio 44135.

8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(r).

10. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(s)(1).

11. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was an employee engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 206-207 as he used tools, products, and equipment that traveled in interstate commerce.

12. Written consents to join this action as to Count One, as and when executed by other individual plaintiffs, will be filed pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13. Defendant Larchwood Health Group LLC d/b/a Larchwood Village Retirement Community is an assisted living facility/nursing home.

14. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a State Tested Nurses Aide (“STNA”) between August 2017 and September 2017.

15. Other similarly-situated employees were employed by Defendant as activity assistants and caregivers.

16. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees were classified by Defendant as non-exempt employees.

17. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees were paid by Defendant on an hourly basis.

(Failure to Pay Overtime Compensation)

18. Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees worked more than 40 hours per week, but Defendants failed to pay them overtime compensation for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek.

19. Rather than paying overtime compensation, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees were only paid straight time for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek.

(Failure to Keep Accurate Records)

20. Defendant failed to make, keep and preserve accurate records of the unpaid work performed by Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees.

(Defendants Willfully Violated the FLSA)

21. Defendant knowingly and willfully engaged in the above-mentioned violations of the FLSA.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

22. Plaintiff brings Count One of this action on her own behalf pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated who have been, are being, or will be adversely affected by Defendant's unlawful conduct.

23. The class which Plaintiff seeks to represent and for whom Plaintiff seeks the right to send "opt-in" notices for purposes of the collective action, and of which Plaintiff is herself a member, is composed of and defined as follows:

All current and former non-exempt hourly employees employed by Larchwood Health Group LLC at any time between May 18, 2015 and the present.

24. The amount of overtime hours Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees worked are reflected on their time sheets and pay stubs.

25. Plaintiff estimates, that on average she worked between five (5) and ten (10) overtime hours per week.

26. Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential class, by upon information and belief, avers that it consists of at least 50 persons.

27. This action is maintainable as an "opt-in" collective action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b) as to claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and

costs under the FLSA. In addition to Plaintiff, numerous current and former employees are similarly situated with regard to their wages and claims for unpaid wages and damages. Plaintiff is representative of those other employees and is acting on behalf of their interests as well as her own in bringing this action.

28. These similarly-situated employees are known to Defendant and are readily identifiable through Defendant's payroll records. These individuals may readily be notified of this action, and allowed to opt in pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), for the purpose of collectively adjudicating their claims for unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

29. Plaintiff brings Count Two of this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of herself and all other members of the class ("the Ohio Class") defined as:

All current and former non-exempt hourly employees employed by Larchwood Health Group LLC at any time between May 18, 2015 and the present.

30. The Ohio Class is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable. Plaintiff is unable to state at this time the exact size of the potential Ohio Class, but upon information and belief, avers that it consists of at least 50 persons.

31. There are questions of law or fact common to the Ohio Class, including but not limited to the following:

- (a) whether Defendant failed to pay overtime compensation to their non-exempt employees for hours worked in excess of 40 each workweek; and
- (b) what amount of monetary relief will compensate Plaintiff Tanisha Jackson and other members of the class for Defendant's violation of R.C. 4111.03 and 4111.10.

32. The claims of the named Plaintiff Tanisha Jackson are typical of the claims of other members of the Ohio Class. Named Plaintiff's claims arise out of the same uniform course of conduct by Defendant, and are based on the same legal theories, as the claims of the other Ohio Class members.

33. Named Plaintiff Tanisha Jackson will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Ohio Class. Her interests are not antagonistic to, but rather are in unison with, the interests of the other Ohio Class members. The named Plaintiff's counsel has broad experience in handling class action wage-and-hour litigation, and is fully qualified to prosecute the claims of the Ohio Class in this case.

34. The questions of law or fact that are common to the Ohio Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. The primary questions that will determine Defendant's liability to the Ohio Class, listed above, are common to the class as a whole, and predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members.

35. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Requiring Ohio Class members to pursue their claims individually would entail a host of separate suits, with concomitant duplication of costs, attorneys' fees, and demands on court resources. Many Ohio Class members' claims are sufficiently small that they would be reluctant to incur the substantial cost, expense, and risk of pursuing their claims individually. Certification of this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 will enable the issues to be adjudicated for all class members with the efficiencies of class litigation.

COUNT ONE
(Fair Labor Standards Act Violations)

36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

37. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 207.

38. By engaging in the above-described practices and policies, Defendant willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the FLSA.

39. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees have been damaged in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the FLSA.

COUNT TWO
(Violations of Ohio Revised Code 4111.03)

40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully rewritten herein.

41. Defendant's practice and policy of not paying Plaintiff and other similarly-situated home health aides overtime compensation at the rate of one and one-half times their regular rate of pay for the hours they worked over 40 each workweek violated the OMFWA, R.C. 4111.03.

42. By failing to pay Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees overtime compensation, Defendant willfully, knowingly and/or recklessly violated the provisions of the OMFWA, R.C. 4111.03.

43. As a result of Defendant's practices and policies, Plaintiff and other similarly-situated employees have been damaged in that they have not received wages due to them pursuant to the OMFWA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, and all those similarly situated, collectively pray that this Honorable Court:

- A. Issue an order permitting this litigation to proceed as a collective action, and certifying the class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. R. 23(a) and (b)(3);
- B. Order prompt notice, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b), to all class members that this litigation is pending and that they have the right to “opt in” to this litigation;
- C. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents actual damages for unpaid wages;
- D. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents liquidated damages equal in amount to the unpaid wages found due to Plaintiff and the class;
- E. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents pre- and post-judgment interest at the statutory rate;
- F. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents attorneys’ fees, costs, and disbursements; and
- G. Award Plaintiff and the class she represents further and additional relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lori M. Griffin
Lori M. Griffin (0085241)
Anthony J. Lazzaro (0077962)
Chastity L. Christy (0076977)
The Lazzaro Law Firm, LLC
920 Rockefeller Building
614 W. Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44113
Phone: 216-696-5000
Facsimile: 216-696-7005

anthony@lazzarolawfirm.com
chastity@lazzarolawfirm.com
lori@lazzarolawfirm.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all eligible claims and issues.

/s/ Lori M. Griffin
One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff